1. **Call to order and roll call**

   - Jason called the meeting to order.

2. **Announcements, upcoming meetings, project updates, and general housekeeping**

   - Robin – Reached out to Mona Caron for the CAC-initiated historic commemorative project.
   - Lou – spoke with Jon Moscone, Chief of Civic Engagement at YBCA. He is interested in speaking with the CAC about the project and to have YBCA help to bring the project together. He is willing to come to the next meeting.
   - Andi – I will reach out to Jon.
   - Jason – we can invite Mona as well.
   - Robin – I will reach out to Mona.
   - Andi – next meeting falls in the Thanksgiving week. (All members agreed to November 27th). I will update the calendar invite.

3. **Approval of minutes for September 2017 regular meeting**

   - Ted – correction on page 4: “that directs you to a website that the Planning Department Historic Preservation team maintains.”
• Passed meeting minutes with Ted’ revisions (5 ayes, 0 nays)

4. Market Street Hub Interim Controls

• Jason - Includes all parcels in the Hub area, but excludes One Oak.
• Robin – given all the work on this, I am supportive of this.
• Ted – I am not in favor of this. I support the CU process and I think it results in a better product for the neighborhood.
• Josh – I am in support of the intent in it. I am not in support of all of the “Whereas” language. I think the development is already extraordinarily regulated. We don’t know if this will slow down development, whether this will exclude families who need a car. I am not sure this is the appropriate way to get the intention done. Should we be looking at parking overall in the neighborhood. Concerned this is too heavy handed.
• Ted – I agree with the family issue.
• Jason – I disagree with what you are saying. We talk about families all of the time. This is an interim control. We have asked the Planning Department to define “compelling reason” in the process.
• Robin – regarding family-friendliness. I don’t think the area is family-friendly now. To add more cars seems unfriendly. I think this is a step in the right direction. I am concerned about the intent of the Market and Octavia Plan, which states 0.25 parking spaces.
• Ted – I call a vote on the motion with Robin’s additions.
• Robin – seconds
• (2 ayes, 2 nays, 1 abstention)

5. Octavia Boulevard Capital Improvement Projects

• Casey – in response to Paul’s requested resolution and to share SFMTA’s capital improvement projects that are addressing traffic impacts on the local lanes. See presentation.
• Lou – is the Friday spike in traffic typical?
  o Casey – there can be some variability in this. We didn’t collect enough data to judge a whole week.
• Robin – I have a few comments. I am not comfortable with the proposed closure. I think a bicycle going through the pedestrian space will be seen as an interloper. It is an important bicycle route. This is an opportunity to do a woonerf – a shared space for cars, pedestrians, and bicycles. We are trying to encourage the proxy site to be affordable housing.
• Ted – I attended a Vision Zero meeting, the SFPD commander shared that walking during the countdown is illegal. The city needs an education program to promote.
  o Joshua – they just changed this a few weeks ago at the State. If there is a flashing hand, then you cannot step into the road, but you can during the countdown now.
• Robin – I saw a car northbound on side lane – ran blinking red lights. Anything that can be done to divert that traffic, would be great. Cops cannot believe the way people drive. It is a matter of enforcement.
• Andi – let’s talk about Paul’s proposed resolution.
• Robin – I sent my suggested changes.
• Jason – move to support and vote with Robin’s additions.
• Lou – seconds the vote.
• Passes (5 ayes, 0 nays)

6. **Market and Octavia Impact Fee Expenditure Plan**
   • Jacob – shares handouts regarding the IPIC revenue and expenditure plan with the MO CAC members. We don’t have as much funds as we thought we would in FY2019, we have a deficit. We need to adjust our expenditures in FY2019 to match up with our expected revenues. December 13th is IPIC’s final meeting date to approve a final IPIC Revenue and Expenditure Plan.
   • Joshua – regarding the Living Alleys, I think it is good to keep the pool growing.
     o Jacob – they have $1.2 million that has not been committed. There have been three projects granted: Linden, Hickory, and Lily have been approved. They have enough to fund six projects.
   • Robin – our Living Alley plan has been whittled down to a mural. I suggest keeping that money around.
   • Casey – we need funding for construction in FY2019, but we could accommodate getting the funding to come in at the end of FY2019.
   • Jason – Muni Forward is important. Supporting transit gets cars off the street. Perhaps we should be more specific that it is for mass transit improvements. I would like to appropriate something that is specific.
   • Jason – regarding the Van Ness SUD, where is the recreation and open space funding going?
     o Jacob – It could be used to acquire new park space.
   • Jason – the Hub process is not talking about a community center and a school. Perhaps this could go towards that.
   • Casey – SFUSD has a parcel at Gough and Page that could be co-developed to be a school.
   • Joshua – consider adding design improvements of Otis.

7. **Public Comment**

No public comment.

8. **Adjournment**

   **NEXT MEETING: November 27, 2017**