1. **Announcements and Review of Agenda.**

2. **Review and Approve Minutes from the June 19, 2017 and August 21, 2017 CAC Meetings.**


4. **Dogpatch Complete Streets Projects.** Presentation by SF Public Works staff on complete streets projects in the Dogpatch neighborhood and City staff’s proposal for initial implementation followed by discussion and potential action.
5. **Parks and Open Space in the Eastern Neighborhoods.** Presentation by Recreation and Parks staff on proposed park rehabilitation projects in the Eastern Neighborhoods, the upcoming Parks Bond, and proposed approach to programming EN Recreation and Open Space funds, followed by discussion and potential action.

6. **Neighborhood Engagement and EN Priority Projects.** Discussions lead by CAC Chair on neighborhood engagement on EN priority capital projects, followed by discussion and potential action.

7. **Public Comment.** At this time, members of the public may address the Citizens Advisory Committee on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee but do not appear on the agenda. With respect to agenda items, the public will be given an opportunity to address the Committee when the item is reached in the meeting. Each member of the public may address the Committee for up to three minutes.

The Brown Act forbids a Committee from taking action or discussing any item not appearing on the posted agenda, including those items raised at Public Comment. In response to public comment on an item that is not on the agenda, the Committee is limited to:

- Briefly responding to statements made or questions posed by members of the public, or
- Requesting staff to report back on the matter at a subsequent meeting, or
- Directing staff to place the item on a future agenda. (Government Code Section 54954.2(a).)

**Cell Phone and/or Sound-Producing Electronic Devices Usage at Hearings**

Effective January 21, 2001, the Board of Supervisors amended the Sunshine Ordinance by adding the following provision: The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices (67A.1 Prohibiting the use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices at and during public meetings).

**San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance**

Attention: Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code Section 21.00-2.160] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone (415) 581-2300; fax (415) 581-2317; and web site http://www.sfgov.org/ethics.

**Accessible Meeting Policy**

Hearings are held at the Planning Department, 1650 Mission St., Room 431, fourth floor, San Francisco, CA. The closest accessible BART station is the Van Ness Avenue station located at the intersection of Market Street and Van Ness Avenue. Accessible curbside parking has been designated at points along Mission Street. Accessible MUNI lines serving the Planning Department are the 14 Mission, 26 Valencia, 47 Van Ness, 49 Van Ness/Mission, and the F Line. Accessible MUNI Metro lines are the J, K, L, M, and N. For more information regarding MUNI accessible services, call (415) 923-6142.

Disability Accommodations: To request assistive listening devices, real time captioning, sign language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or other accommodations, please contact the Department’s ADA Coordinator, Candace SooHoo, at (415) 575-9157 or candace.soocho@sfgov.org at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting to help ensure availability. Accessible seating for persons with disabilities (including those using wheelchairs) will be available at meetings.
Language Assistance: To request an interpreter, please contact the Candace SooHoo, at (415) 575-9157, or candace.soooho@sfgov.org at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting.

SPANISH
Si desea asistir a la audiencia, y quisiera obtener información en Español o solicitar un aparato para asistencia auditiva, llame al (415) 575-9010. Por favor llame por lo menos 72 horas de anticipación a la audiencia.

CHINESE
听证会上的语言援助或辅助设备，请致电(415) 575-9010。请至少于听证会之前的72小时前提出要求。

FILIPINO
Para sa tulong sa lengguwahe o para humiling ng Pantulong na Kagamitan para sa Pagdinig (headset), mangyari lamang na tumawag sa (415) 575-9121. Mangyaring tumawag nang maaga (kung maaari ay 72 oras) bago sa araw ng Pagdinig.

RUSSIAN
За помощью переводчика или за вспомогательным слуховым устройством на время слушаний обращайтесь по номеру (415) 575-9121. Запросы должны делаться минимум за 72 часов до начала слушания.

Know Your Rights Under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government’s duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people’s business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people’s review.

For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact Richard Knee, Chair of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 409, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by E-mail at sotf@sfgov.org.

Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Library and on the City’s website at www.sfgov.org/bdsupvrs/sunshine.

PUBLIC COMMENT

At this time, members of the public may address the Committee on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee except agenda items. With respect to agenda items, your opportunity to address the Committee will be afforded when the item is reached in the meeting with one exception. When the agenda item has already been reviewed in a public hearing at which members of the public were allowed to testify and the Committee has closed the public hearing, your opportunity to address the Committee must be exercised during the Public Comment portion of the Calendar. Each member of the public may address the Committee for up to three minutes.

The Brown Act forbids a committee from taking action or discussing any item not appearing on the posted agenda, including those items raised at public comment. In response to public comment, the committee is limited to:

1. responding to statements made or questions posed by members of the public; or
2. requesting staff to report back on a matter at a subsequent meeting; or
3. directing staff to place the item on a future agenda. (Government Code Section 54954.2(a))
4. submitting written public comment to Mat Snyder, 1650 Mission Street Ste. 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 mathew.snyder@sfgov.org
EASTERN NEIGHBORHOODS CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE UPDATE | 18 SEPTEMBER 2017
THIS EVENING’S TOPICS

1. Public Realm Plan Recap
2. Draft Outline
3. Pedestrian Master Plan
   - Pipeline map
   - Intersections of community concern
   - Key Pedestrian Routes
4. Timeline for Publication
Policy Background
Policy Background

**OBJECTIVE 5.1** Provide public parks and open spaces that meet the needs of residents, workers and visitors.

**OBJECTIVE 5.3** Create a network of green streets that connects open spaces and improves the walkability, aesthetics, and ecological sustainability of the neighborhood.

**POLICY 5.3.1** Redesign underutilized portions of streets as public open spaces, including widened sidewalks or medians, curb bulb-outs, “living streets” or green connector streets.

**POLICY 5.3.2** Maximize sidewalk landscaping, street trees and pedestrian scale street furnishing to the greatest extent feasible.
**Policy Background**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLICY 5.3.3</th>
<th>Design intersections of major streets to reflect their prominence as public spaces.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY 5.3.4</td>
<td>Enhance the pedestrian environment by requiring new development to plant street trees along abutting sidewalks. When this is not feasible, plant trees on development sites or elsewhere in the plan area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY 5.3.5</td>
<td>Significant above grade infrastructure, such as freeways, should be retrofitted with architectural lighting to foster pedestrian connections beneath.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY 5.3.6</td>
<td>Where possible, transform unused freeway and rail rights-of-way into landscaped features that provide a pleasant and comforting route for pedestrians.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Plan Process & Outcomes

Through a robust community engagement process, finalize a prioritized list of streetscape, open space, and other public realm projects.

Working with neighborhood residents, businesses, and property owners, produce conceptual design for the highest priority projects. Develop design strategies for the remaining projects.

Provide robust cost estimates for each of the projects identified in the plan, including area-wide improvements.

Allocate public funds for projects based on the cost estimates. Schedule in the City’s capital plan for implementation, coordinating with existing public and private projects.
## CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTRODUCTION</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Background and Context</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Realm Plan Goals</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Background and Related planning Efforts</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLAN DEVELOPMENT</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Engagement Overview</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop #1: Prioritization</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop #2a: Open spaces and parks</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop #2b: Complete Streets</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Focus Groups: Open spaces and parks</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop #3: Esprit Park</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop #4: Complete Streets</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLAN AREA CONTEXT</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historical Context</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Resources</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built Form</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoning, Land Use, and Building Controls</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Assets</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Pipeline (2005 – 2015)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation &amp; Transit</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree coverage</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPLETE STREETS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Conditions</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streetscape Design Principles</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area-Wide streetscape improvements</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Design Corridors</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota Street, north</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota Street, south</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24th Street Green Connection</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Management Plan</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Street Bikeway</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARKS AND OPEN SPACE</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District-level Analysis</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Open Spaces</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esprit Park</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm Water Cove</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunnel Top Park</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods Yard</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota Grove</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caltrans Parcels</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Open Space</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Streets</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PEDESTRIAN CONNECTION PLANNED
PEDESTRIAN CONNECTION PROPOSED
SIDEWALK / PATH OF TRAVEL IMPROVEMENT PROPOSED
SIDEWALK PLANNED
SIDEWALK UNDER CONSTRUCTION

BULB-OUT PROPOSED
BULB-OUT PLANNED
BULB-OUT POTENTIAL (IF DESIGNED FOR LARGE TURNING VEHICLES)
CURB RAMPS PROPOSED

EXISTING CROSSWALKS
CROSSWALK IMPROVEMENT PROPOSED
NEW STOP CONTROL PROPOSED
NEW SIGNALIZATION PROPOSED
INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS FOR CYCLISTS PROPOSED

CLASS IV CYCLE TRACKS PLANNED
EXISTING CLASS II BIKE LANE
CLASS II BIKE LANE PLANNED
EXISTING CLASS II BIKE ROUTE
CLASS II BIKE ROUTE PLANNED
CLASS III BIKE ROUTE PROPOSED
STREET ConnectIOn PLANNED

POTENTIAL SHARED STREET
POTENTIAL BIKESHARE STATIONS
EXISTING BUS STOPS
EXISTING OPEN SPACE
FUTURE OPEN SPACE

ASSUME NEW INFILL STREET TREES, SIDEWALK PLANTINGS, 
& PEDESTRIAN LIGHTING THROUGHOUT PLAN AREA
Development Pipeline

Q3 2016 PIPELINE PROJECTS
11/14/2016

1-4 UNITS
5-14 UNITS
15-39 UNITS
40+ UNITS
COMMERCIAL

EXISTING OPEN SPACE
FUTURE OPEN SPACE
Development Pipeline + Existing Residential Density

Q3 2016 PIPELINE PROJECTS
- over 39 Units existing
- over 39 units pipeline
- EXISTING OPEN SPACE
- FUTURE OPEN SPACE
Community & Cultural Institutions

- over 39 Units existing
- over 39 units pipeline
- educational
- cultural/ art
- public/ medical

EXISTING OPEN SPACE

FUTURE OPEN SPACE

Review draft 03/20/2017
Community & Cultural Institutions + Intesections of Concern

- over 39 Units existing
- over 39 units pipeline
- educational
- cultural/ art
- public/ medical

EXISTING OPEN SP
FUTURE OPEN SP
draft Key Pedestrian Routes
Planning Effort Timeline

1. Summer 2015
   - BEGIN OUTREACH AT COMMUNITY GROUP MEETINGS
   - Planning Department begin outreach at DNA, PDMA, Potrero Boosters, CWAG, DPNWP GBD, and other regular stakeholder meetings.
   - Launch Neighborland, an online polling and public feedback site.

2. February 2016
   - EXISTING CONDITIONS DOCUMENTATION
   - Gather information about private development, public planning projects, and the state of streets and sidewalks. Identify opportunities and constraints for public realm plan projects in Dogpatch. Coordinate between City Agencies.

3. March 2016
   - IDENTIFY PRIORITIES
   - Collect oral histories, and hold focus groups.
   - Public Workshop #1
     Gather community input to identify priority projects for Dogpatch.

   - DEVELOP DESIGN IDEAS
   - Public Workshops #2A and #2B
     Report back on results from Workshop #1.
     Present ideas for design strategies. Collect community feedback on preferences.

   - FINALIZE CONCEPT DESIGNS
   - Conduct feasibility analyses with MTA, Recreation and Parks, Port of SF, Public Works, and others. Develop design options for priority projects.
   - Public Workshops
     Collect public input on design options.

6. Fall 2017
   - RELEASE AND ADOPTION
   - Develop cost estimates for preferred designs. Finalize an implementation plan for all public realm projects.
   - Begin using the plan as an instrument for funding and building projects.
Community Meeting
Public Realm Plan Launch
Thursday, November 9, 2017
## Next Steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCT 2017</td>
<td>Interagency Peer Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOV 2017</td>
<td>Release Public Review Draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC 2017</td>
<td>Potrero Boosters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd WEDS</td>
<td>Central Waterfront Advisory Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st THURS</td>
<td>Penninsula Joint Powers Board of Directors (Caltrain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS NEEDED</td>
<td>Dogpatch - NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS NEEDED</td>
<td>Tunnel Top Park Steering Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS NEEDED</td>
<td>Port of SF / Office of Economic &amp; Workforce Devel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS NEEDED</td>
<td>HOPE SF / Portrero Hill</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dogpatch Capital Planning

ENCAC Meeting – September 18, 2018
DOGPATCH CAPITAL PLANNING

WHO:
Led by Public Works and MTA, departments who implement capital projects.

WHAT:
- The capital planning process will identify projects, in order of priority, to secure funding.
- Prioritized projects can begin with a commitment of partial funding.

HOW:
The City’s considers the following input:
- Public Realm Plan - analysis of data, feedback at community meetings,
- Other community input - EN CAC, other community concerns
- City’s Prioritization Criteria – from Josh Low’s, Capital Planning, May 15, 2017 presentation

WHEN:
- Once priorities are established, funding can be programmed and included in the City’s capital budget.
- Today we are looking at Dogpatch capital planning over the next 3-5 years.
- Funding commitments made by November 2017 go into the City’s FY 18/19 capital budget.
PROJECT CRITERIA

- **Common across project types:**
  - Accommodate new growth
  - Creating a network between major uses
  - Proximity to density

- **Streetscapes**
  - Safety & Vision Zero
  - Heaviest pedestrian routes
  - Ownership of the street

- **Open Space**
  - Filling system-wide program gaps
  - Seismic retrofitting
  - Potential to expand

Josh Low, Capital Planning
May 15, 2017, EN CAC Presentation
PRIORITY PROJECTS 2018-2023

1. Minnesota Street, 23rd to 25th streets, including Minnesota Grove
Scope: infill sidewalks and streetscape, intersection improvements, Minnesota Grove upgrades (ADA compliance, lighting, extension southward)
Cost estimate: ~$2.3M

2. 25th Street, 3rd to Pennsylvania streets
Scope: infill sidewalks and lighting, bulbouts at Pennsylvania, Minnesota and Indiana streets where feasible.
Cost estimate: ~$5.5M

3. 23rd Street, 3rd to Minnesota streets (phase I)
Scope: infill sidewalks and lighting, bulbouts at Tennessee where feasible
Cost estimate: ~$2.5M

4. Indiana Street, 22nd Street to Islais Creek (most potential for an ADA compliant route)
Scope: infill sidewalks, lighting and bulbouts where needed and feasible
Cost estimate: ~$3.5M

5. Pennsylvania Street, 22nd to 23th streets
Scope: infill sidewalks
Cost estimate: ~$675K
San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department

Eastern Neighborhoods
Citizens Advisory Committee

RPD Project Update
September 18, 2017

Inspire, Connect, Play!
Opened June 23, 2017

IPIC Funding: $3,160,000
Total Project Budget with Acquisition: $7,818,000
Opened March 7, 2017

IPIC Funding: $1,500,000
Total Project Budget: $4,010,000
Within a quarter-mile of Garfield Pool, there are...

2,000+ youth ages 0-17

12.5 youths per acre

City-wide is 2.05 youths per acre

52% Hispanic/Latino population
At Garfield Pool from Fall 2016 through Summer 2017:

1,593 youths ages 0-17 were enrolled in programmed classes.

724 were waitlisted.
Garfield Pool Survey Responses: Agree/Disagree Questions

- 94% like to go swimming
- 22% are confused about how to access the pool (e.g. hours, location, cost, programs)
- 35% would use pool more if it had more kid-friendly activities
- 64% were participating in the public feedback process with the City for the first time
79% of children in households with incomes less than $50,000 have little-to-no swimming ability.\textsuperscript{1}

Formal swimming lessons reduces the likelihood of childhood drowning by 88%.\textsuperscript{2}

10 people drown each day in the United States.\textsuperscript{3}

64% of African-American, 45% of Hispanic/Latino, 40% of Caucasian children have little to no swimming ability.\textsuperscript{2}
IPIC Funding: $5,538,000  Total Project Budget: $18,138,000  Gap in Funding: $1,600,000
Potrero Hill Recreation Center

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department

IPIC Funding: $180,000
Total Project Budget: $4,200,000
Gap in Funding: $900,000

Project Scope and Limits
Building Design & Construction

GAP
Impact Fees
Bond

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department
Potrero Hill
IPIC Funding: $825,000
Bond Funding: $324,000

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department

Juri Commons
Requested IPIC Funding: $2,700,000

UCSF Donation: $5,000,000
Impact Fees

GAP

Add-back

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department

Mission

IPIC Funding: $120,000
Total Project Budget: $235,000
Gap in Funding: $90,000
**IPIC Funding:**

$1,869,000
PUBLIC OPEN SPACE - 900% INCREASE
PLAYGROUND AREA - 175% INCREASE
COMMUNITY GARDENS - 250% INCREASE
NEW DOG RUN
NEW PUBLIC PLAZAS

ENCAC MEETING
MAY 15, 2017

IPIC Funding: $1,640,000
FOJP/developer fundraising: $4,420,000
IPIC Funding: $1,800,300
TPL in-kind services: $500,000
Mission Recreation Center

IPIC Funding:
$3,740,000
11th and Natoma Park Acquisition

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department

IPIC Requested: $8,810,000
Open Space Acquisition Funding: $9,725,000

Open Space
- Existing
- Proposed
- Existing POPOs

Plan Areas
- East SoMa
- West SoMa
- The Hub

Image from PreVision Design
## Bond Planning & Implementation With IPIC Expenditure Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2012 Bond Implementation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2019 Bond Planning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2019 Bond @ Board &amp; to Electorate, 1st Bond Sale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2019 Bond Implementation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPENDITURE PLAN for IPIC funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Waterfront Recreation and Open Space: Esprit Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Parks in South of Market : 11th &amp; Natoma Park Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,810,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garfield Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Square</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potrero Rec Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Planning &amp; Implementation</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>