Market/Octavia Community Advisory Committee
Community Improvements Program Final Recommendations for 2011
Dec 15, 2010 adopted

I. Streetscape/Greening/Public Realm

1. "Living Street" Improvements for select Alleys.
No specific projects for current recommendations. CAC will establish a coordination process with MTA and DPW to review potential projects prior to authorizing use of MO Fund resources.

2. Street Tree Plantings for Key Streets.
No specific projects for current recommendations. CAC will establish a coordination process with MTA and DPW to review potential projects prior to authorizing use of MO Fund resources.

II. Open Space/Parks

1. Improvements to Existing Parks.

   Park & Rec "Hubs"
   1a. Duboce Park Youth Play Area – capital project funding approx $50-100k

   1b. Hayward Park – add-on small projects, funding needs TBD (major park renovation to be included in next RPD bond, likely 2013)

   Neighborhood Parks
   1c. Set-aside from M/O Fund for Small Grants Program ($50-$100k grants) (potentially administer through Community Challenge Grant program)

2. Hayes Green Rotating Art Project.

3. McCoppin Plaza Extension—Phase II. Long term project, likely beyond 5 year Program recommendations period.


III. Transportation

Transit
1. Transit Preferential Street Improvements.
No specific projects for current recommendations. CAC will establish a coordination process with MTA to review potential projects prior to authorizing use of MO Fund resources.

2. Church Street Improvements (portion of).
3. **Dedicated Transit Lanes.**
No specific projects for current recommendations. CAC will establish a coordination process with MTA to review potential projects prior to authorizing use of MO Fund resources.

**Pedestrian**
1. **Pedestrian Improvements for Priority Intersections.**
   - **Market Street intersections**
     1. Market/16\(^{th}\)/Noe
     2. Market/Church/14\(^{th}\)
     3. Market/Guerrero/Laguna
     4. Market/Franklin/Page
     5. Market/VanNess (within VanNess/Market SUD)
   - **Other Plan Area intersections**
     1. Mission/Duboce
     2. S. VanNess/Mission (within VanNess/Market SUD)
     3. Franklin/Grove
     4. Filmore/Haight
     5. Church/16\(^{th}\)
     6. Octavia/Oak
     7. Gough/Fell
     8. Franklin/Oak

2. **Hayes Street two way Improvements.**

3. **Widen Hayes Street Sidewalk.**

**Bicycles**
1. **Page Street Bicycle Boulevard.**

2. **Market Street bicycle lanes between Octavia Boulevard and 17th/Castro Streets.**

3. **Grove Street between Octavia Boulevard and Van Ness Avenue.**

4. **Sharrows and signage on key streets.**

**Other Transp**
1. **Study further Central Freeway removal.**

2. **Parking Supply Survey and Program Recommendations.**

**IV. Recreation Facilities**

   **Park & Rec “Hubs”**
   1a. Duboce Park Youth Play Area – capital project funding approx $50-100k

---

^ These projects included as CAC priorities, but not intended for M/O Fund expenditures.
1b. Hayward Park – add-on small projects, funding needs TBD (major park renovation to be included in next RPD bond, likely 2013)

**Neighborhood Parks**
1c. Set-aside from M/O Fund for Small Grants Program ($50-$100k grants)
   (potentially administer through Community Challenge Grant program)

**V. Childcare Facilities**
No recommendations necessary – standardized expenditure category.

**VI. Library Materials**
No recommendations necessary – standardized expenditure category.

**VII. Local Economic Development**
M/O Fund nexus to be determined. To be reflected in subsequent annual Program recommendations.

**VIII. Historical/Educational/Cultural**
Placeholder category. M/O Fund nexus would need to be determined. To be reflected in subsequent annual Program recommendations

**IX. Other/ Community Generated Projects**
Placeholder category. M/O Fund nexus would need to be determined. To be reflected in subsequent annual Program recommendations

Final CAC recommendations include an evaluation of the overall Community Improvements Program:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Program Rating</th>
<th>Balance/variety of community improvements</th>
<th>5 high/0 low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promotes mix of project/community improvement types</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promotes various scales of projects/community improvements</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promotes geographic mix of projects/community improvements in relation to development</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promotes blend of physical and programmatic projects/community improvements</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
The CAC has established a process for regularly refining and augmenting the list of potential community improvements projects and range of categories for consideration in annual Program expenditure recommendations.