RESOLUTION MAKING FINDINGS TO ALLOW TELECONFERENCED MEETINGS UNDER CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953(e)

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 54953(e) empowers local policy bodies to convene by teleconferencing technology during a proclaimed state of emergency under the State Emergency Services Act so long as certain conditions are met; and

WHEREAS, In March, 2020, the Governor of the State of California proclaimed a state of emergency in California in connection with the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic, and that state of emergency remains in effect; and

WHEREAS, In February 25, 2020, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) declared a local emergency, and on March 6, 2020 the City’s Health Officer declared a local health emergency, and both those declarations also remain in effect; and

WHEREAS, On March 11 and March 23, 2020, the Mayor issued emergency orders suspending select provisions of local law, including sections of the City Charter, that restrict teleconferencing by members of policy bodies; those orders remain in effect, so City law currently allows policy bodies to meet remotely if they comply with restrictions in State law regarding teleconference meetings; and

WHEREAS, On September 16, 2021, the Governor signed AB 361, a bill that amends the Brown Act to allow local policy bodies to continue to meet by teleconferencing during a state of emergency without complying with restrictions in State law that would otherwise apply, provided that the policy bodies make certain findings at least once every 30 days; and

WHEREAS, While federal, State, and local health officials emphasize the critical importance of vaccination and consistent mask-wearing to prevent the spread of COVID-19, the City’s Health Officer has issued at least one order (Health Officer Order No. C19-07y, available online at www.sfdph.org/healthorders) and one directive (Health Officer Directive No. 2020-33i, available online at www.sfdph.org/directives) that continue to recommend measures to promote physical distancing and other social distancing measures, such as masking, in certain contexts; and
WHEREAS, The California Department of Industrial Relations Division of Occupational Safety and Health (“Cal/OSHA”) has promulgated Section 3205 of Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, which requires most employers in California, including in the City, to train and instruct employees about measures that can decrease the spread of COVID-19, including physical distancing and other social distancing measures; and

WHEREAS, Without limiting any requirements under applicable federal, state, or local pandemic-related rules, orders, or directives, the City’s Department of Public Health, in coordination with the City’s Health Officer, has advised that for group gatherings indoors, such as meetings of boards and commissions, people can increase safety and greatly reduce risks to the health and safety of attendees from COVID-19 by maximizing ventilation, wearing well-fitting masks (as required by Health Officer Order No. C19-07), using physical distancing where the vaccination status of attendees is not known, and considering holding the meeting remotely if feasible, especially for long meetings, with any attendees with unknown vaccination status and where ventilation may not be optimal; and

WHEREAS, On July 31, 2020, the Mayor issued an emergency order that, with limited exceptions, prohibited policy bodies other than the Board of Supervisors and its committees from meeting in person under any circumstances, so as to ensure the safety of policy body members, City staff, and the public; and

WHEREAS, [Insert name of Board/Commission] has met remotely during the COVID-19 pandemic and can continue to do so in a manner that allows public participation and transparency while minimizing health risks to members, staff, and the public that would be present with in-person meetings while this emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That [insert name of Board/Commission] finds as follows:

1. As described above, the State of California and the City remain in a state of emergency due to the COVID-19 pandemic. At this meeting, [Insert name of Board/Commission] has considered the circumstances of the state of emergency.

2. As described above, State and City officials continue to recommend measures to promote physical distancing and other social distancing measures, in some settings.
3. As described above, because of the COVID-19 pandemic, conducting meetings of this body [and its committees] in person would present imminent risks to the safety of attendees, and the state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of members to meet safely in person; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That for at least the next 30 days meetings of [insert name of Board/Commission] [and its committees] will continue to occur exclusively by teleconferencing technology (and not by any in-person meetings or any other meetings with public access to the places where any policy body member is present for the meeting). Such meetings of [insert name of Board/Commission] [and its committees] that occur by teleconferencing technology will provide an opportunity for members of the public to address this body [and its committees] and will otherwise occur in a manner that protects the statutory and constitutional rights of parties and the members of the public attending the meeting via teleconferencing; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the [clerk/secretary/staff] of [insert name of Board/Commission] is directed to place a resolution substantially similar to this resolution on the agenda of a future meeting of [insert name of Board/Commission] within the next 30 days. If [insert name of Board/Commission] does not meet within the next 30 days, the [clerk/secretary/staff] is directed to place a such resolution on the agenda of the next meeting of [insert name of Board/Commission].
Order of Business:

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

   Devanshu Patel (Chair)
   Philip Williams (Vice Chair)
   Tim Chan
   Dominica Henderson
   Linda Jordan
   Elaine Redus

   Members Absent
   Bakari Adams
   Kirk Davis

2. Approval of Minutes from Previous Meetings

   Discussion:
   - Rich Sucre to provide Draft Minutes for Previous Meetings at a Future Meeting.

3. General Public Comment

   No General Public Comment Received

4. Report from the City Administrator’s Office / San Francisco Planning Department
   [Discussion and Possible Action]
Discussion:
- Explore in-person meeting for May 2022
- Location to be determined; existing Southeast Community Facility is Closed. New Southeast Community Facility is not open yet. YMCA or Library as potential options.
- Verify space and masking requirements, as well as an AV system, for future location.
- The CAC will still make findings for all future meetings to allow for remote (if needed).

a. Resolution Making Findings to Allow Teleconferenced Meetings under California Government Code Section 54953(e).

Motion to Adopt Resolution: Henderson; 2nd: Patel  
All in Favor: Chan, Henderson, Patel, Redus & Williams  
Absent: Adams, Jordan, & Davis

5. New Business: Department and Project Sponsor Presentations  
[Discussion and Possible Action]

a. Hunters Point Naval Shipyard Environmental Program Fieldwork Update. Informational Presentation.

Speakers: Derek Robinson (NAVY Environmental Program Manager, Hunters Point Naval Shipyard Navy Base Realignment and Closure), Liz Roddy (Project Manager), Dr. Kathryn Higley (Community Technical Liaison)

Discussion:
- Send any emails to info@sfhpns.com
- Member Henderson asked about the landfill and any environmental risks towards removing it. Response: Treatments for landfills are either to: make them safe in place; or, dig them up and truck them to another location, then make them safe in place. The Navy expressed that digging them up and transporting them would result in larger environmental problems.
- Member Henderson wants to understand how you make landfills safe long-term. D. Robinson replied that the methods for making landfills safe are well-tested and have been long adopted standards. The design and monitoring are key towards safety. The Navy will be monitoring this site long-term.
- Member Chan asked whether the contractor did the landfill work correctly. In 2014, the Navy identified inconsistency in the reports provided to them. The Navy is retesting all of
- Member Redus asked about the parcels that are pending retesting. When will they be retested and are there any radiological retests needed? The Navy started on Parcel G at the request of the City. The retesting on all parcels are scheduled to be completed in the next couple of months. Clean-up on all parcels (except Parcel F) should be completed in 2026. Retest required on any parcel that the contractor deemed complete.

- Member Redus asked about Parcel F scheduled for clean-up in 2028. The Navy said that Parcel F does not have radiological issues on this parcel.

- Member Williams asked what the biggest risk is on this timeline. The Navy has stated that they would come back to the CAC upon request. The biggest risk is finding contamination. Any contamination would extend the timeline provided to the CAC.

- No Public Comment Received.

b. Amazon Logistics. Informational Presentation.

Speakers: Stephen Maduli-Williams (Economic Development Manager, Amazon)

Discussion:
- Vice-Chair Williams commented that Amazon is approximately 18 months late coming to the CAC. Expressed concerns about negative outcomes of Amazon coming into the neighborhood. Appreciates job opportunities. Leased 200,000 sq ft from Prologis and have operated a distribution center. Wants to understand traffic impact of existing facility and new facility at 749 Toland St. Also concerned about 1300 Quint. Environmental impact on neighborhood.
  - Mr. Maduli-Williams responded and cited his history and experience with the neighborhood. Amazon does not currently have a lease with Prologis on 749 Toland St. The existing facility is a temporary lease. Relative to environmental impact, trucks arrive overnight and are staggered. Not comparable with Fontana, where there are other fulfillment centers in that City. Passenger vehicles and vans at the Toland fulfillment center.
  - Amazon is working on electrifying their entire fleet.
  - Vice-Chair Williams wants a commitment to use only EV vehicles at the Bayview site.
  - Amazon likes to hire locally. Worked with YCD and
others. Strive to employ D10 residents.

- Member Redus asked about the number of employees.
  - Mr. Maduli-Williams stated that 40% of the 500 employees are from D10.

- Member Redus asked about Amazon and the Prologis site at 749 Toland
  - Mr. Maduli-Williams stated that Amazon has not entered into lease negotiations at this site.

- Member Jordan-Martley asked about employees and their attendance at school, and opportunities for internship programs
  - Mr. Maduli-Williams stated that Amazon provides Associates with benefits, emails and career choice programs.
  - Mr. Maduli-Williams is looking to partner with SFUSD. Already have a partnership with LAUSD (approximately 9 months old).

- Member Henderson asked about the businesses who deliver on behalf of Amazon.
  - Mr. Maduli-Williams provided an overview of this program as managed by Amazon.
  - Mr. Maduli-Williams will provide more data on this program at a later date.

- Member Chan provided comments on the wage and salaries for Amazon workers relative to San Francisco.

- Mr. Maduli-Williams committed to coming back once a quarter to provide updates on environmental improvements and workforce statistics.

c. Elimination of Industrial Protection Zone Special Use District.
Informational Presentation & Possible Action

Speakers: Jeremy Shaw (SF Planning Department)

Discussion:
- Vice-Chair Williams asked about impacts on cannabis and life-science labs
  - A Conditional Use Authorization would be required for cannabis uses. The IPZ exempts cannabis uses from the CUA requirement.
  - Removing the IPZ does not impact life-science uses. Life-science labs are permitted in PDR.

6. Committee Members’ Comments and Announcements
[Discussion Only]
Discussion:
- Chair Devan and Member Chan provided a follow-up to the Bayview Caltrain Station selection. Apparently, Evans was added as an option sometime about a year ago. Additional engagement occurred with Supervisor Walton, as well as a follow-up meeting with SF Planning and SFCTA. Upcoming hearing at the Planning Comission.
- Congrats to Linda Martley-Jordan on her appointment to the Juvenile Probation Commission

7. Committee Members’ Proposed Future Agenda Items
   [Discussion and Possible Action]

   No Discussion.

8. Adjournment

   Motion to Adjourn: Williams; 2nd: Henderson
   All in Favor: Chan, Henderson, Jordan, Patel, Redus & Williams
   Absent: Adams & Davis

   END: 8:07PM
SUNSHINE ORDINANCE
Government’s duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people’s business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people’s review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapters 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, please contact:

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place Room
244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: 415-554-7724, Fax: 415-554-5784
Email: sotf@sfgov.org

Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Administrator of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Public Library and on the City’s website at www.sfgov.org.

DISABILITY ACCESS
The Southeast Community Facility is on the corner of Oakdale Avenue and Phelps Street (1800 Oakdale Avenue) and is wheelchair accessible. The closest MUNI stop is on Third Street, between Oakdale and Palou Avenues, three blocks away. The nearest MUNI lines are the KT Third St Light Rail. For more information about MUNI accessible services, call (415) 923-6142.

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact the City Administrator’s Office at 415-554-4148 at least 48 hours before the meeting, except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline is 4:00pm the previous Friday.

In order to assist the City’s efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that others may be sensitive to various chemical based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE
Individuals that influence or attempt to influence local policy or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100 – 2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 252-3100, fax (415) 252-3112 and website: http://www.sfgov.org/ethics
San Francisco Department of the Environment

Toward a Zero Carbon Future
San Francisco Department of the Environment

Advance racial equity and make sure all San Franciscans reap the benefits of the City's Climate Action efforts

Promote Healthy Communities and Ecosystems

Achieve Zero Waste and Zero Toxics

Strengthen Community Resilience

Amplify Community Action

Lead on Climate Action
Aggressive Climate Goals

- **2017**: 25%
- **2030**: 68%
- **2050**: 100%

Reduce as much as possible
Sequester the rest
San Francisco Emissions by Sector – 2019

- Transportation: 47% (4.6 million mtCO₂e)
- Buildings: 41%
- Landfilled organics: 7%
- Municipal: 3%
- Wastewater: <1%
- Agriculture: 2%

2019
80% Sustainable, low carbon trips

Get out of your car and:

- Walk
- Bike
- Carpool
- Take public transit

And if, for whatever reason, you need a car, use an electric vehicle
Replace gasoline-fueled cars
EV ARC™ (Electric Vehicle Autonomous Renewable Charger)
Electric Vehicles

Percent of Electric Vehicles
- 0% - 0.5%
- 0.5% - 1.5%
- 1.5% - 3%
- 3%+

[Map showing distribution of electric vehicles across different districts]
Two EV ARC Solar Chargers to BVHP Site
Thank you
We acknowledge that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula.
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What is the purpose of the Housing Element?

To create a roadmap for the future of housing that:

- Plans for **certain number of units** estimated by State and regional agencies
- Represents **the values** of our communities for the future of the City
- Defines **priorities** for housing solutions
- Guides **decisions** and **resource allocation**

**Does** guide decisions and potential laws elected officials & city agencies make and give the public (you!) a tool to hold San Francisco government accountable to housing commitments

**Does not** change existing laws, land use or zoning ordinances, nor allocate budget
SF’s first housing plan centered in racial and social equity.

Responding to the Planning Commission equity resolution.
Significant Increase in Housing Targets

25,000 units vs. 82,000 units

By Income Level

- **Very low income**: 6,234 units (33%) vs. 20,867 units
- **Low income**: 4,639 units (47%) vs. 12,014 units
- **Moderate income**: 5,460 units (27%) vs. 13,717 units
- **Above moderate income**: 12,536 units vs. 35,471 units

**Percent Achieved as of 2020**

- **Very low income**: 33%
- **Low income**: 47%
- **Moderate income**: 27%
- **Above moderate income**: 148%
How much additional housing do we need?

82,000
Total units that SF must accommodate (RHNA)

Subtract pipeline projects & other expected development

22,600
NEW units (subject to change)

Of these units...
• 15,000 should be for low-income households
• 8,500 should be for moderate-income households

(above-moderate income housing need will be met by expected growth)
Housing Element Timeline

2020
- **MAY - DEC**: Vetting Key Ideas with the Community
- **JAN - JUN**: Refining Policies Together

2021
- **JUL - DEC**: Draft 1 Release
- **JAN - MAR**: Draft 2 Release
- **APR - JUN**: Draft 3 Release

2022
- **JUL - SEPT**: 1st submittal to HCD
- **OCT - DEC**: Revised Plan to HCD
- **JAN - MAR**: 2014 HE expires
- **APR - JUN**: 120 day adoption grace period
- **HCD Review Round 1**: State Review for Compliance
- **HCD Review Round 2**: CPC Initiation & Approval Hearings
- **HCD Review Round 3**: 2022 HE to HCD

2023
- **JAN - MAR**: Racial and Social Equity Impact Analysis
- **APR - JUN**: Environmental Impact Analysis (EIR)
  - DEIR released
  - DEIR hearing
  - FEIR Certification

Housing Element 2022 Updates
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Outreach and Engagement: Overview
Phases of Outreach and Engagement Summary

- 23 focus groups
- 65+ community conversations & listening sessions
- 11 in-language events in Cantonese and Spanish
- 21 community partners
- 226 online platform respondents
- 11 Community ambassadors (HEARD)
- 17 City agencies and subject matter experts
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUALITY &amp; ACCESS</th>
<th>DISTRIBUTION &amp; CHOICE</th>
<th>ACCOUNTABILITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Increase access to housing resources and restructure how resources are</td>
<td>4. Promote equitable distribution of housing, and increase housing in neighborhoods</td>
<td>6. Create accountability and share decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prioritized</td>
<td>with high quality amenities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Ensure dignified housing</td>
<td>5. Build the kind of housing that vulnerable communities want in their neighborhoods</td>
<td>7. Study the equity impacts of market-rate housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Increase wealth building opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Response to Community Directives: Quality & Access

Community Directives:

1. Increase access to housing resources and restructure how resources are prioritized
2. Ensure dignified housing
3. Increase wealth building opportunities

Responding Policies

- Reparative framing of policies and actions.
- Expand housing outreach, education, counseling, and case management.
- Expand resources for people experiencing homelessness and the people most vulnerable to housing insecurity.
- Preserve the affordability of existing units of all types.
- Improve access to Below Market Rate units.
- Capacity-building, job training, start-up, and business development resources for Black-owned development and construction companies.
Response to Community Directives: Distribution & Choice

Community Directives:

4. Promote equitable distribution of housing
5. Build the kind of housing that vulnerable communities want in their neighborhoods

Responding Policies

- Facilitate construction of small and midrise multi-family buildings for middle-income households.
- Facilitate co-housing for households that want to share resources and reinforce supportive relationships.
- Better utilize the City’s acquisition and rehabilitation program.
- Cultivate spaces of cultural importance for communities impacted by displacement.
Response to Community Directives: Accountability

Community Directives:

6. Create accountability and share decision-making
7. Study the equity impacts of market-rate housing

Responding Policies

- Truth-telling process about discriminatory government actions
- Measure and report progress towards more equitable housing access
- Study and invest in anti-displacement measures at the project level
- Amplify voices of people of color in policy, zoning and development review
- Commitment to eliminate discrimination in housing
Offering reparations to American Indian, Black, Japanese, Filipinos, and other Communities Harmed:

- Homeownership opportunities
- Improved access to affordable housing rental opportunities
- Access to land and cultural spaces

Study harms resulting from redlining, urban renewal, Indian Relocation Act, exclusionary zoning, and other discriminatory practices

Elevate cultural districts to prioritize resources and planning efforts

Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing
Cultural districts represent many harmed communities. Empowering and investing in them will bring about equitable change.

- **A** African American Arts and Cultural District
- **B** American Indian Cultural District
- **C** Calle 24 Latino Cultural District
- **D** Castro Cultural District
- **E** Compton’s Transgender Cultural District
- **F** Japantown Cultural District
- **G** Leather & LGBTQ Cultural District
- **H** SoMa Pilipinas – Filipino Cultural District
- **I** Sunset Chinese Cultural District
Increasing supply of affordable housing for extremely, very low, and moderate income households

Eliminate homelessness:
- Permanent supportive housing
- Increasing investments in rental assistance
- Serve a wider range of at-risk individuals

Investment in Priority Equity Geographies toward economic empowerment and permanently affordable housing

Affordable housing in neighborhoods that are gateways serving vulnerable populations such as the Mission, Chinatown, Tenderloin, or Castro.

Priority Equity Geographies

Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing
Removing Development Constraints
Housing Element 2022 Updates

Areas Undergoing Displacement and Gentrification

Tenants Vulnerable To Displacement

POLICIES & ACTIONS

Elevate CBOs who offer tenant protection and housing placement services

Eliminate community displacement

- Use the Racial and Social Equity Impact Analysis to measure and quantify levels of investments to prevent community displacement
- Create benchmarks for affordable housing production and preservation investments to avoid displacement
- Invest public funding or direct private investment to implement the anti-displacement investments

POLICIES

1 3 21 13 14 17 18

Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing
Expand development capacity in Well-Resourced Neighborhoods by ~26,000 units

Respond to the city’s historical patterns of growth and affirmatively further fair housing across San Francisco

Encourage small multi-family and mid-rise buildings through zoning change and streamlining

POLICIES & ACTIONS

Expanding Housing Choices Citywide

Well-Resourced Neighborhoods

Policies

19 20 25

Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing
Removing Development Constraints
Housing Element 2022 Updates

- Allowing small multi-family buildings near transit: Removing density limits within 800' buffer around SFMTA 5-minute network and lines 35, 43, and 44
- Allowing midrise multi-family near transit: Increasing height between 55’ - 85’ around a select group of routes within one or two blocks, or certain NC corridors

Housing Production by Neighborhood, 2008 to 2019

- Total Units
  - Less than 300
  - 301 - 1,500
  - 1,501 - 3,000
  - 3,001 - 8,721

Subsidized Affordable Housing

- Affordable Units
  - 0 - 10
  - 11 - 100
  - 101 - 300
  - 301 - 848
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What does the Housing Element ask of communities?

Inform city priorities:
- Recommend priority housing strategies that serve people of color
- Identify the actions from the Housing Element that would be most impactful for their community

Tell the city what progress should look like:
- Inform metrics that measure progress towards beneficial outcomes for communities of color
- Ground truth the city’s approach to measuring required levels of anti-displacement investment
- Inform benchmarks for affordable housing production and preservation investments

Work with us:
- Utilize City liaisons at key agencies to support their housing needs and priorities
- Participate in the interagency housing implementation committee